UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI SOUTHEASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)) No. 1:17-CR-00041(RLW)
LAWRENCE J. STRICKLAND,)
Defendant.)
)

SENTENCING HEARING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE RONNIE L. WHITE
FEBRUARY 20, 2018
CAPE GIRARDEAU, MISSOURI

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

PAUL W. HAHN
OFFICE OF U.S. ATTORNEY
555 Independence, Suite 3000
Cape Girardeau, MO 63703
(573) 334-3736

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

MICHAEL SKRIEN
OFFICE OF FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
325 Broadway, 2nd Floor
Cape Girardeau, MO 63701
(573) 339-0242

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer.

DEBORAH A. KRIEGSHAUSER, FAPR, RMR, CRR Federal Official Court Reporter 111 South Tenth Street, Third Floor St. Louis, MO 63102 (314) 244-7449

1 (PROCEEDINGS BEGAN AT 10:40 AM.) 2. THE COURT: Counsel, are we ready to proceed? 3 MR. HAHN: Yes, Your Honor. 4 MR. SKRIEN: Yes, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: We're here in the matter of the 6 United States versus Lawrence J. Strickland. The Cause Number 7 is 1:17-CR-00041-1(RLW). The Government is represented by 8 Assistant United States Attorney Paul Hahn. Defense counsel 9 is Michael Skrien, and Mr. Strickland is here in person. 10 Mr. Strickland, on August 21st, 2017, you pled guilty 11 to the charge of "Felon in Possession of a Firearm - Armed 12 Career Criminal." Do you remember that? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, but I told my attorney to 14 pull the plea. THE COURT: Well, let me have a discussion with you 15 16 about that. Mr. Skrien has filed a Motion for Leave to 17 Withdraw the Plea and a Motion to Withdraw as Counsel and have 18 substitute counsel appointed. I will take up the Motion to 19 Withdraw the Plea first. 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Strickland, it's my understanding that your dissatisfaction comes from the amount of time that 22 2.3 the plea -- that the Sentencing Guidelines are recommending. 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 25 THE COURT: But let me read this to you because this

is what you signed on August 21st.

Under "Statutory Penalties," it says, "The Defendant fully understands that the maximum possible penalty provided by law for the crime to which the Defendant is pleading quilty is imprisonment of not more than ten years, a fine of not more than \$250,000 or both such imprisonment and fine. The Court shall also impose a period of supervised release of not more than three years. In certain situations, under Title 18, United States Code, Section 924(e), Armed Career Criminal, the Defendant may be subject to a mandatory minimum sentence of 15 years and a maximum sentence greater than that above. The Defendant is pleading guilty with full knowledge of these possibilities, has discussed these possibilities with counsel, and will not" -- I repeat -- "will not be able to withdraw the guilty plea if the Court determines the foregoing statute applies to Defendant's sentence. However, both parties retain the right to litigate whether Section 924(e) applies to the Defendant."

Your lawyer can litigate that issue to me this morning.

Next section, sentence -- Section 6 -- no -- it's 6(c). It says "Total Offense Level." "The parties do not have an estimate of the Total Offense Level."

So at the time of the plea we didn't have it.

"Depending on the underlying offense and Defendant's

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

25

criminal history, Defendant could be an Armed Career Criminal pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 924(e) and Section 4B1.4. If the Court finds the Defendant is an Armed Career Criminal, the Total Offense Level may be higher and the Criminal History Category may be as high as a VI. Defendant has discussed these possibilities with counsel. Both parties reserve the right to argue that the Defendant is or is not an Armed Career Criminal."

Section 12 of your Plea Agreement says, "No right to withdraw guilty plea."

"Pursuant to Rule 11(c) and (d), Federal Rules of

Criminal Procedure, the Defendant understands that there will

be no right to withdraw the guilty plea under this Agreement

except where the Court rejects those portions of the Plea

Agreement with -- dealing with charges the Defendant -- the

Government agrees to dismiss or not bring."

So you signed it saying that with -- that -- understanding that you had no right to withdraw your guilty plea. Now do you want to respond to that or you want me to rule?

THE DEFENDANT: I -- I -- I actually signed it because my attorney told me to sign it.

I don't know what's going on, Your Honor. I put it in the faith of God and my attorney. And for one, I don't see how we got here. I honestly do not. I was promised zero to

1 ten years and that I was guaranteed I wouldn't get the ten.
2 And so that's why I waived all my rights. And ---

when I asked you the questions, not when your attorney asked you the questions. I asked you did you want to plead guilty; did you understand that these possibilities existed, and you said "yes." And right now, because the Total Offense Level and the Criminal History Category came back different than what you believed, you're upset about it. But your lawyer can argue to me this morning that these things don't apply to you and ask for a different sentence.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

2.3

THE COURT: So I'm going to overrule your Motion to Withdraw the Plea.

Now, Mr. Hahn, do you have anything to say or Mr. Skrien?

MR. SKRIEN: No, Your Honor.

MR. HAHN: No, Your Honor. I had told Mr. Skrien earlier that based on their correspondence, the Government would not oppose that motion, but I'm very mindful of what the Court has recited and the terms of the Plea Agreement where — where Mr. Strickland was put on notice that this possibility could exist.

THE COURT: So, Mr. Strickland, your motion to withdraw your guilty plea is denied. I'm going to deny

```
Mr. Skrien's Motion to Withdraw as I believe Mr. Skrien is
 1
 2
     very capable this morning of arguing to the Court any
 3
     information he has in mitigation of the sentence that's
 4
     recommended. So those -- both of those motions are denied.
 5
              Mr. Strickland, have you and Mr. Skrien had an
 6
     opportunity to read and discuss the Presentence Report?
 7
              THE DEFENDANT: No, sir, not -- not that I know of.
 8
              THE COURT: Mr. Skrien, did you show him the
 9
     Presentence Report?
10
              MR. SKRIEN: We did, Your Honor. In fact, that's
11
     what he's upset about.
12
              THE COURT: Exactly. I mean no other way you could
13
     have known that without seeing the Presentence Report.
14
              Are there any objections -- Mr. Hahn, have you read
15
     the Presentence Report?
16
              MR. HAHN: I have, Your Honor.
17
              THE COURT: Are there any objections to the factual
18
     accuracy of the report?
19
              MR. HAHN: No, Your Honor.
20
              THE COURT: Mr. Skrien?
21
              MR. SKRIEN: No, Your Honor.
22
              THE COURT: Are there any objections to the Probation
2.3
     Officer's application of the Sentencing Guidelines? Mr. Hahn?
24
              MR. HAHN: No, Your Honor.
25
              THE COURT: Mr. Skrien?
```

MR. SKRIEN: Your Honor, I will object at this point for a couple of reasons. And I know the Court sent out an Order for us to be prepared for sentencing. I had not filed a formal objection, but I'd like to state those now on the record with the Court's ---

THE COURT: Sure.

2.3

MR. SKRIEN: Thank you.

We would object to the "Armed Career Criminal" status, Your Honor, for two reasons. What makes him an Armed Career Criminal is Paragraph 36 which has one case, one case number but two Counts. Those two Counts are each counted separately under the Statute 924(e), and I don't dispute the language of Section 924(e), but I don't believe it produces a just result.

If Mr. Strickland were being sentenced under the Career Offender guidelines, these two would only count as one because there was no intervening arrest. It's my understanding there was no intervening arrest. Yet, under the Armed Career Criminal statute, he is. They count as two separate ones.

That -- If you asked, I believe, 20 people on the street, they would all agree that doesn't make sense. In one circumstance they're counted as separate and in one circumstance they're counted as one. And so but for those two being counted as two separates, he would not be considered an

```
1
     Armed Career Criminal. So I would object for that reason that
     those ought to be considered one and not two.
 2.
 3
              THE COURT: That's Paragraph 36?
              MR. SKRIEN: Correct.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Okay.
 6
              MR. SKRIEN: The second reason I'd like to object is
 7
     simply because ---
 8
              THE COURT: Wait a minute. Let me have Mr. Hahn
 9
     respond to that.
10
              MR. SKRIEN: I'm sorry. Go ahead.
11
              MR. HAHN: Your Honor, the enhancement statute based
     on the Armed Career Criminal, 18 USC 924(e), simply requires
12
     that the acts be committed on occasions different than
13
14
     another. And ---
15
              THE COURT: I got 2-28-2002, 9-5-2007.
16
              MR. HAHN: Yeah. There is -- On the entry on
17
     Paragraph 36 where two of the three predicates are referenced,
18
     one of the sales, drug sales, occurred on October 19th, 1999,
19
     and the other transaction that's charged and for which
20
     Mr. Strickland was convicted occurred on October 14th, 1999.
21
     And so those two of the three predicates occurred in the same
22
     case but at different times. And under the law and the case
23
     law, the statute and the case law, that puts Mr. Strickland
24
     squarely with the other conviction referenced in the
25
     Presentence Report to which I'm not aware of any -- any
```

1 objection to that that's entered in -- referenced in Paragraph 2 35 about March 25th, 2002, that -- the unlawful use of a 3 weapon charge where Mr. Strickland shot at a person in a motor vehicle as -- as charged. That's the third predicate 4 5 conviction. 6 THE COURT: The Court will overrule Defendant's 7 objection to Paragraph 36. 8 Make your next objection. 9 MR. SKRIEN: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 I would just briefly add that our argument is that that is a continuing course of conduct, those two offenses on 11 12 the two days. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Hahn? 14 MR. HAHN: Your Honor, I understand Mr. Skrien's 15 argument, but they occurred on -- on different days, five days 16 apart. And I just for the record want to -- want to make 17 clear that -- that Mr. Skrien is not objecting to the nature of the convictions or the dates for which those convictions 18 19 occurred. I mean, otherwise, I can put into evidence all --20 all -- all three of the convictions in terms of it, but I -- I 21 don't see a need to do that if we're in agreement that what's 22 stated in the Presentence Report is accurate. 2.3 THE COURT: Defendant's objection is overruled.

Subject to the objections that have been made and ruled by the Court, the Court adopts the statements -- factual

24

25

1 statements contained in the Presentence Report. 2. Oh, did you have another one? 3 MR. SKRIEN: I did, Your Honor. I'm sorry. 4 THE COURT: Oh, I thought that was it. Go ahead. 5 MR. SKRIEN: The second reason I'd like to object is 6 because of what I believe is ongoing litigation with our 7 office that challenges the drug -- Missouri drug statutes. 8 Beyond that, I don't know a lot other than I believe the 9 statute worded includes some attempts, conduct that would 10 disqualify it, that is not considered the serious drug 11 offense, the type Congress intended. It's my understanding 12 that has been argued to the Eighth Circuit, and we're waiting 13 for a ruling on that. So I would also object on those 14 grounds. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Hahn? 16 MR. HAHN: Your Honor, my impression from Paragraph 17 36 and reviewing the underlying documents is that attempt is 18 not part of what's charged, at least in the drug offenses; 19 that there are straight sales of controlled substances for the 20 case referenced in Paragraph 36. So -- And Mr. Skrien can 21 educate me here. I don't think it's -- either -- any of these 22 offenses are based on attempts. 2.3 Is that correct? 24 MR. SKRIEN: Your Honor, that's not my argument. 25 THE COURT: His argument is they are, but I'll

1 resolve it. They're not based on attempts, and I will 2. overrule your objection. 3 Did you have anything further, Mr. Skrien? MR. SKRIEN: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 4 5 THE COURT: Subject to the objections previously made 6 and ruled, the Court adopts the factual findings contained in 7 the Presentence Report as its findings of fact. 8 The Court determines that the applicable guidelines 9 are a Total Offense Level of 30, Criminal History Category of 10 The guidelines provision calls for 180 months in prison. 11 Under Local Rule 13.05, it is the procedure of this 12 Court to hold a bench conference in every plea and every 13 sentencing hearing. We will now conduct the bench conference, 14 and this portion of the transcript of this hearing will be 15 filed under seal. Counsel, please approach sidebar. 16 (Pursuant to Local Rule 13.05, a bench conference was 17 held on the record under seal; after which the following 18 proceedings continued in open court:) 19 THE COURT: Do either party know of any reason why 20 this Court should not now proceed with the imposition of 21 sentence? 22 MR. HAHN: No, Your Honor. 2.3 MR. SKRIEN: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Skrien, do you wish to make a 24 25 statement or present any information in mitigation of the

sentence?

MR. SKRIEN: Your Honor, I'll only say this: That having our objections overruled and given the Court's ruling on the range of punishment, I think a statutory mandatory minimum of 180 months is more than sufficient to achieve all the goals of sentencing, and we would ask for that as your sentence.

THE COURT: Mr. Strickland, do you wish to address the Court?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. I would like to address the Court on the -- on the drug charges, sir.

The drug charges came about in '99 which I had left
Sikeston and moved to Hannibal, Missouri and caught a gun
charge, but my guns were registered to me. Me and my wife and
children were out looking for my little brother that got
killed last year. And so we — They were having like a race
rally — racial rally, and they saw me and my wife and
children or me and my wife being white and me black. And —
And so we were at these lights at 11:00 at night, and they
started throwing things. And the lights were blinking.
People were still going on that lot. And — And so I grabbed
one of my firearms, and I fired it into the air. I did not
shoot into the crowd. It was over 150 some people there or
better. So the prosecutor didn't want to give me unlawful use
of a weapon, shooting a gun in the city limits. So he went to

law school with Ms. Christy Baker-Neill, and he said, well, he had a school buddy that — not a school buddy but a law school buddy. He went to law school; let them see what he could go down and dig up on me. So he went down to Sikeston and came back and dug up — The day after he got back, I had — I had drug charges that popped up. I took it to trial. When I took it to trial, it was a — it was a clansman that's foreman of my jury, aired on Jerry Springer in '97 and '98, Jerod Cook. And so — But it took me five years to — to find this out. I was — I happened to get locked up in Pacific with — with one of the guys that ran with him, and he said they left him alone because he was drawing a lot of heat to him.

So that's -- I mean I've been wronged. And like I was -- This case about the shotgun, I was sitting in my yard, me and a friend, and the police jumped out on me; jumped out on me; pulled a gun; told me to get out of my vehicle. I get out of my vehicle. He said he got a call we was selling drugs out of my vehicle in my yard. At first I thought I had privacy. So he pulled a gun on me. He searched me. I emptied my pockets. He patted me down. I mean I'm scared. I don't know what's really going on. He searched my vehicle, me.

So when he put his gun back, I took off running. I was scared. And when I ran, I got to the next street behind my house. I felt comfortable because I saw people. So I put

my hands up and — and I put my hands up. I gave myself up, and he said, "Freeze," and told me — He took me; walked me back all the way around this guy's house and found some — some marijuana. And I told him, "You did not get that off of me. My pockets was clean whenever — when you searched me." All I had in my pocket was my medicine prescription for my gout.

So he took me, put me in the truck and came back and told me, "Well, listen, we can do this the easy way or the hard way. I promise you you make me do this the hard way, then we're going to take you downtown and beat the hell out of you." So I said, "Well, I ain't got no drugs at my house. You can search my house."

So he searched my house and found the shotgun. And I explained to him, I said, "Sir, the reason why I have the shotgun is because my home been broken into, vandalized twice." And I called everybody; the Sheriff's Office, the Highway State Patrol, the U.S. State -- U.S. Department of Justice, U.S. Marshals even, and nobody seemed to do anything. So after that second time, I was -- I was frustrated out of fear, living in fear. So I bought a shotgun off of the street. And I even told him who I got the shotgun from. My friend said the shotgun was not stolen.

So now I found myself here, but that's, you know -And then my house got broken into again when I was in Dunklin

1 County for the third time, and nothing still has been done. 2 My paid attorney -- My paid attorney, she had to file a police 3 report and charges because they failed to do anything. So they failed to serve and protect me as they're 4 5 sworn in to do. So I don't -- You know what I'm saying? 6 What do you do when the law don't want to protect 7 you? 8 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hahn? 9 MR. HAHN: Your Honor, the Government is consistent 10 with Mr. Skrien's recommendation as well. This case to me, 11 the mandatory minimum amount of 180 months is what's 12 appropriate. THE COURT: The Court, after hearing from both 13 14 counsel as well as Defendant and reviewing the Presentence 15 Report, is now ready to proceed with sentencing. 16 The instant offense involved the Defendant, a 17 convicted felon, unlawfully possessing a stolen 12-gauge pump 18 action shotgun. The Defendant's criminal history includes 19 prior felony convictions for unlawful use of a weapon, 20 shooting at a person or motor vehicle, trafficking in drugs 21 first degree, and sale of a controlled substance. 22 The Defendant reported an upbringing in violent and 23 poor neighborhoods. At the age of four or five, the Defendant 24 observed his mother being frequently abused by her boyfriend.

Additionally, the Defendant had some problems at age 11 where

25

he reported having a history of depression, bipolar disorder, anxiety disorder and suicidal thoughts.

2.

As such, in light of the advisory guidelines range and the provisions of 18 USC Section 3553(a), a sentence of 180 months' imprisonment, which represents the statutory minimum sentence, I believe, would be sufficient but not greater than necessary to comply with the purposes set forth in 18 USC Section 3553(a).

It is further recommended that this sentence run concurrent to any sentence imposed under Docket No. 17SO-CR00105, pursuant to USSG, Section 5G1.3, as the charges are relevant conduct to the instant offense.

Therefore, pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 and the provisions of 18 USC Section 3553(a), it is the judgment of the Court that the Defendant, Lawrence J. Strickland, is hereby committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of 180 months.

This sentence shall run concurrent with any sentence imposed in Circuit Court, Scott County, Missouri, under Docket No. 17500 -- SO-CR00105.

While in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons, it is recommended that the Defendant be evaluated for participation in the Residential Drug Abuse Program and mental health treatment.

It is also recommended the Defendant be evaluated for

participation in an occupational/educational program specifically in home construction. Such recommendations are made to the extent they are consistent with the Bureau of Prisons' policies.

Upon release from imprisonment, the Defendant shall be placed on supervised release for a term of three years.

Within 72 hours of release from the custody of the Bureau of Prisons, the Defendant shall report in person to the Probation Office in the district to which the Defendant is released.

Conditions of Supervision:

2.3

You must comply with the following conditions of supervision that have been adopted by this Court. You must also comply with the Special Conditions.

If it is determined there are costs associated with any services provided, you shall pay those costs based on a co-payment fee established by the Probation Office.

You must submit to substance abuse testing to determine if you've used a prohibited substance. You must not attempt to obstruct or tamper with the testing methods.

You must participate in a Substance Abuse Treatment
Program and follow the rules and regulations of that program.
The Probation Officer will supervise your participation in the program.

You must participate in a Mental Health Treatment

Program and follow the rules and regulations of that program.

The Probation Officer, in consultation with the treatment provider, will supervise your participation in the program.

You must submit your person, property, house, residence, vehicles, papers, computers, other electronic communications or data storage devices or media or office to a search conducted by a United States Probation Officer. You must warn any other occupants that the premises may be subject to searches pursuant to this condition. The Probation Officer may conduct a search under this condition only when reasonable suspicion exists that you have violated a condition of supervision and that the areas to be searched contain evidence of this violation.

The Court finds that the Defendant does not have the ability to pay a fine.

It is further ordered that the Defendant shall pay to the United States an assessment of \$100 which shall be due immediately.

Mr. Strickland, do you have any questions about the conditions of supervision?

THE DEFENDANT: I don't understand, Your Honor. I don't ---

THE COURT: Well, when you get out, you do the best you can to work with the Probation Officer to follow those conditions because if you don't, you'll be right back here.

```
1
              I must now advise you that it is your right to file a
 2
     Notice of Appeal from this sentence within 14 days from today.
 3
     Failure to file that notice within that time period shall be
 4
     deemed a waiver of your right to appeal. You need to speak
 5
     with Mr. Skrien about that before you leave here this morning.
 6
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
 7
              THE COURT: Other than the objections which have been
 8
     previously made, stated for the record and ruled, do either
 9
     party have any objections to the Court's findings of fact,
10
     conclusions of law or to the manner in which this sentence was
11
     pronounced?
12
              MR. HAHN: No, Your Honor.
13
              MR. SKRIEN: No, Your Honor.
14
              THE COURT:
                          The sentence will be imposed as stated.
15
              Is there anything further from the Government,
16
     Mr. Hahn?
17
              MR. HAHN: No, Your Honor.
18
              MR. SKRIEN: Could I have just a moment?
19
              (Pause)
20
              MR. SKRIEN: Your Honor, if the Court would make the
21
     recommendation that Mr. Strickland be incarcerated in either
22
     Marion, Illinois or Memphis, Tennessee, if that's consistent
2.3
     with the Bureau of Prisons' policies.
24
              THE COURT: If it's consistent with the Bureau of
25
     Prisons' policies, the Court recommends that Mr. Strickland be
```

```
incarcerated in the Memphis or -- Where was the other one?
 1
 2
              MR. SKRIEN: Marion.
 3
              THE COURT: Marion, Illinois --
 4
              MR. SKRIEN: Yes.
 5
              THE COURT: -- facility.
 6
              Is there anything further?
 7
              MR. SKRIEN: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
              THE COURT: That will conclude this hearing. Court
 8
 9
     will be in recess for about ten minutes.
10
              (Hearing adjourned at 11:05 AM.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER

I, Deborah A. Kriegshauser, Federal Official Realtime Court Reporter, in and for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri, do hereby certify that pursuant to Section 753, Title 28, United States Code, that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the stenographically-reported proceedings held in the above-entitled matter and that the transcript page format is in conformance with the regulations of the Judicial Conference of the United States.

Dated this 8th day of March, 2018.

/s/ Deborah A. Kriegshauser

DEBORAH A. KRIEGSHAUSER, FAPR, RMR, CRR FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER